October 2015 Newsletter

There is no significant new functionality this month.

FamilySearch.org:

If you haven't visited FamilySearch.org, the free genealogy site run by the Family History Library of the Church of Latter Day Saints, then you should hurry over. The site now offers a basic version of the features of the commercial Ancestry.ca, but everything is free. Also they have entered into an agreement with the Archives of Ontario which means that free images of the vital statistics records held by the Archives are now available on the site, and these images are almost always better in quality than the ones offered, as part of a subscription, by Ancestry.ca because they are made directly from the original paper documents, rather than from the often mediocre quality microfilm as the Ancestry.ca images were made. Many original registrations that are illegible on Ancestry.ca are clear on FamilySearch.org because the contrast has not been exaggerated. And there are fewer vignetting problems, which on the microfilm make some parts of the image too dark to see the details.

Methodology for Creating a Complete Family Tree

Ancestry.ca claims to have family trees including millions of individuals. However as you access those trees you will find that many individuals are replicated across dozens of supposedly independent family trees. This is because information has been copied between trees, frequently by inexperienced users who are not skeptical enough of information on the web. After all there are lots of people who fall for the old "Nigerian diamonds" scam, and unjustifiable conclusions about family trees are much subtler to debunk. The issue is how can you tell whether you have extracted all of the relevant information from any particular source? That is the focus of this site. For example:

Ontario: Death Registration Status 1889

County	Township	Done	Low	High	%Done	%Linked	View
Elgin	Aldborough	2	4011	7557	0.06%	200.00%	View
Lambton	Sarnia Town	7	8339	8345	100.00%	14.29%	View
Lambton	Warwick	8	8586	8604	42.11%	50.00%	View
Middlesex	Adelaide	29	10479	10507	100.00%	48.28%	View
Middlesex	Caradoc	29	10444	10472	100.00%	55.17%	View
Middlesex	Delaware	6	10211	10216	100.00%	50.00%	View
Middlesex	Dorchester North	29	10159	10382	12.95%	31.03%	View
Middlesex	Glencoe	5	10383	10387	100.00%	20.00%	View
Middlesex	Lobo	31	10303	10333	100.00%	54.84%	View
Middlesex	London City	2	10879	10890	16.67%	50.00%	View
Middlesex	London Twp	121	10525	10645	100.00%	15.70%	View
Middlesex	London West	7	10165	10176	58.33%	14.29%	View
Middlesex	McGillivray	26	10334	10359	100.00%	3.85%	View
Middlesex	Metcalfe	11	10388	10398	100.00%	63.64%	View
Middlesex	Mosa	15	10288	10302	100.00%	26.67%	View
Middlesex	Newbury	10	10515	10524	100.00%	10.00%	View
Middlesex	Nissouri West	17	10399	10415	100.00%	0.00%	View
Middlesex	Parkhill	7	10508	10514	100.00%	14.29%	View
Middlesex	Strathroy	16	10416	10431	100.00%	50.00%	View
Middlesex	Westminster	50	10646	10695	100.00%	16.00%	View
Middlesex	Williams East	6	10195	10200	100.00%	0.00%	View
Middlesex	Williams West	18	10270	10287	100.00%	5.56%	View
Waterloo	Woolwich	1	18155	18155	100.00%	0.00%	View
Wtn	Fergus	1	18922	18922	100.00%	100.00%	View
Wtn	Maryborough	1	19057	19057	100.00%	0.00%	View
	Total	455	4011	19057	3.02%	26.81%	

Illustration 1: Status of Transcription of Ontario Death Registrations for 1883

There are two measurements of completeness that appear in this report. One is the measurement of the completion of the transcription of the death registrations from the original document images, and the second is the percentage of transcribed death registrations that have been linked to individuals in the family tree. If both of those are 100% then the project has extracted all of the information available from this type of document with respect to a particular town or township. If either of the numbers are low, then we have a place to focus out attention on.

In my own research I work in alternating stages. In odd numbered stages I focus on transcribing and linking a particular set of source documents, where appropriate adding individuals into the family tree

in order to be able to add a link. For example each birth registration or line in a census obviously creates an opportunity to add a child to a family. Sometime that is also true of a death registration. For example last week I came across the death of a <u>James F. Minor (about 1849—25 December 1883)</u>. Although there was no family information associated with this death registration the unusual surname clearly tied him to the family of <u>David Peter Minor</u> and <u>Margaret Hudson</u>. This filled in a gap because I have been unable to find any evidence of this family between their marriage in 1843 and its presence in the 1871 census of Caradoc, where the eldest child still living with the parents was born in 1856. It was highly unlikely that the couple had no children during the first 13 years of their marriage.

In the even stage I try to fill out the information available on each of the individuals I added, in particular searching for appearance in censuses, marriages, and children. As I add new spouses I also add their parents, who are identified by name in the Ontario marriage registrations from 1869 on, and I look for the spouse's family in the census preceding their marriage, to get the ages of the parents, and to identify any siblings. I try to push each family to the limit of the documentation.

Then I start a new odd numbered research stage by picking a new set of documents which has a low completion percentage.

Analysis of English Parish Records

English Parish Records are well preserved back to the reign of Elizabeth I because the Church of England is a part of the government of England, and its records were treated with the same care as taxation and court records. When I studied the ancestors of my paternal grand-mother Ethel Clara-Wilton (10 August 1889—20 April 1983), a found a number of my relatives and others who were connected to this family had been at work before me. There were two competently organized family trees, which disagreed with each other in significant ways. So I decided to create my own from the parish records of Bruton, Somerset, a transcription of which was available on a CD from Global Genealogy. So rather than treating the tree as it appears on my site as gospel, the following is the formal analysis which I performed in order to construct the tree.

One systematic issue with working from parish records is that almost all marriages are performed in the wife's parish. Further a large proportion of births of first children take place at the home of the wife's parents for a number of obvious reasons. So working from the transcription of a single parish will result in missing information.

In this document I have sorted the record of baptisms, marriages, and burials into family order as far as the evidence justifies. Where both spouses are named as parents of a baptized child there does not appear to be any ambiguity. However where only the father is named there is a possibility that more than one individual of the same name was active in the same generation. I have assumed that as long as there is no more than a 10 year gap in a sequence of baptisms with the same father's name that it is the same individual. At the very least there is no way to distinguish individuals with the same name in the same generation, and families typically displayed little imagination when it came to naming their children. Using this technique shows no inconsistency with the record of marriages at Bruton. Burials with no other information than the name of the individual are difficult to correlate.

Marriage of **Thomas Wilton and Margaret** on 26.01.1556/7. Margaret was buried on 21.10.1588. Some of the unattributed children in the following list are probably from this marriage. Thomas is probably the individual buried on 24.10.1597 (implying age about 60 at death).

Marriage of **William Wilton to Edith Walter** on 09.10.1564. Edith was buried on 15.12.1622, identified as a Wildow. There are 4 burials of a William Wilton in the years between 1564 and 1622 and there is no way from this evidence to tell which is of this William.

Marriage of **John Wilton and Elizabeth Ames** on 03.06.1563. Elizabeth was buried on 24.09.1627. John is probably the individual buried on 03.06.1627 as there are no other candidates in the Bruton records.

These three gentlemen all appear to be grandchildren of one George Wilton of Wyke, a village near Bruton, but the parish records do not run far enough back to permit their relationship, or even the names of their parents, to be determined.

Unfortunately during this period, essentially the reign of Elizabeth I, baptisms were registered with **no parent** specified. There is no obvious way to assign these individuals to any specific family as identified by the marriage records except to assume that children would be named after their parents, and that children are generally born in the first years of a marriage.

09.06.1556	ROGER Wilton (must be son of Thomas)
22.02.1556/7	KATHERIN Wilton (must be son of Thomas)
24.09.1558	JOHN Wilton (must be son of Thomas)
09.03.1558/9	ROBERTT Wilton (must be son of Thomas)
25.04.1560	MARGARET Wilton (must be son of Thomas)
05.12.1562	EDITH Wilton (must be son of Thomas)
27.05.1564	JOHN Wilton (must be son of Thomas or John)
26.09.1565 `	RICHARD Wilton
02.03.1565/6	THOMAS Wilton
30.11.1567	AGNES Wilton
04.06.1568	AGNES Wilton
25.07.1572	WILLIAM Wilton
03.09.1574	ANGELL Wilton
24.05.1576	JOHN Wilton
30.06.1579	GRACE Wilton
28.9.1584	JOHN Wilton

Parent **Thomas Wilton**

This group of children are clearly from the two separate marriages:

12.08.1591 Thomas Wilton to Agnes Northep

08.10.1598 Thomas Wilton to Avis Plimton

Since there is only one Thomas Wilton baptized during the preceding 30 years (on 02.03/1565/6), the most probable explanation is that Agnes died and Avis was a second wife. The death of the second wife is probably represented by the burial of "Anisse" Wilton 20.12.1632. Thomas may be the individual buried on 24.04.1639 (implying age at death about 70), although the burial of Thomas

Wilton "of Weeke" on 28.05.1615 (implying age at death about 45) cannot be excluded.

06.05.1589	WILLIAM Wilton	S THOMAS Wilton
23.05.1591	ROGER Wilton	S THOMAS Wilton
29.04.1593	XPIAN Wilton	D THOMAS Wilton

This may be the daughter XPIAN Wilton daughter of Thomas Wilton who was buried 04.04.1617. Note, however, that the name (which is an abbreviation for Christian, using the Chi-Ro as

in Xmas) was reused by Thomas on 07.08.1613.

28.04.1594 WILLIAM Wilton S THOMAS Wilton

This may be the William Wilton son of Thomas Wilton who was buried 14.01.1610/11

25.07.l599 ANGELL D THOMAS Wilton 16.03.1600/1 AVICE Wilton D THOMAS Wilton

May have been stillborn, as there was a burial of a woman child of Thomas Wilton on the same

12.08.1602	GRACE Wilton	D THOMAS Wilton
01.03.1603/4	ELIZABETH Wilton	D THOMAS Wilton
06.11.1606	JOHAN Wilton	D THOMAS Wilton
07.10.1610	EDITH Wilton	D THOMAS Wilton
07.08.1613	CHRISTIAN Wilton	D THOMAS Wilton

Parent William Wilton

date.

This group of children are probably from the two separate marriages:

17.06.1594 William Wilton to Edith Morgan

17.08.1601 William Wilton/Milton to Dorethe Sheroud

Since there is only one William Wilton baptized during the preceding 30 years (on 25.07.1572), one explanation is that Edith died and Dorethe was a second wife. However there are a large number of children for the period and a daughter Edith is recorded 11 years after the marriage to Dorethe, and therefore presumably after the death of the [first] wife Edith. There is also a reuse of the name Agnes without an intervening record of a death.

30.11.1595 AGNES Wilton D WILLIAM Wilton

This individual must have died before 1609.

02.10.1597 THOMAS Wilton S WILLIAM Wilton

This may be the Thomas Wilton who was buried on 24.10.1597 as the name was reused in 1607.

04.07.1601	JOAN Wilton	D WILLIAM Wilton
24.02.1602/3	RICHARD Wilton	S WILLIAM Wilton
24.01.1604/5	JOHN Wilton	S WILLIAM Wilton
09.07.1607	THOMAS Wilton	S WILLIAM Wilton
30.11.1609	AGNES Wilton	D WILLIAM Wilton

This is probably the individual buried on 16.03.1628/9

10.05.1612	EDITH Wilton	D WILLIAM Wilton
13.08.1615	WILLIAM Wilton	S WILLIAM Wilton
05.04.1618	DORATHIE Wilton	D WILLIAM Wilton

This could be the individual buried on 24.04.1655

16.09.1621 GRACE Wilton D WILLIAM Wilton

Parent **John Wilton**

This does not match any of the marriage records.

04.08.1616	JOHN Wilton	S JOHN Wilton
30.04.1622	RICH: Wilton	S JOHN Wilton
24.07.1625	THO: Wilton	S JOHN Wilton

Parent Nicholas Wilton

These are from the marriage of Nicholas Wilton to Grace ?, 10,02.1624/5. Note that there is no Nicholas Wilton baptism in the preceding 70 years at Bruton. Nicholas may be the individual killed on 26.09.1645.

22.01.1625/6	MARIE Wilton	D NICHO: Wilton
30.12.1627	JAMES Wilton	S NICHOLAS Wilton
28.02.1629/30	JOHN Wilton	S NICHOLAS Wilton

Is one missing in this line ??

15.09.1634 DENNES Wilton D NICHOLAS Wilton

There is also a burial of a Grace Wilton daughter of Nicholas on 05.10.1643. However this could be a mistake for Grace wife of Nicholas, as there is no other Grace Wilton buried at Bruton.

Parents Thomas & Grace Wilton

This does not match any marriage at Bruton. However marriages are generally performed in the bride's parish if that is different from the husband's. This may be the Thomas Wilton baptized 09.07.1607. It may be the Thomas Wilton who died "suddainly" on 09.08.1677, although that may also be the Thomas Wilton baptized on 24.07.1625, since the adjective implies an unexpected death, and death would not be unexpected at age 70. This may also be the Thomas Wilton who died on 28.08.1679.

14.02.1635/6	EDITH Wilton	D THO: & GRACE Wilton
21.09.1638	WM: Wilton	S THO: & GRACE Wilton
19.12.1641	MARY Wilton	D THO: & GRACE Wilton
22/02/1643	RICHARD Wilton	S THOMAS & GRACE Wilton
25.03.1652/3	HENRY Wilton	S THOMAS Wilton
16.05.1656	ROBERT Wilton	S THOMAS & GRACE Wilton

Parents **Richard & Jane Wilton** This does not match any marriage at Bruton. The most recent baptism of a Richard Wilton was 24.02.1602, the son of William Wilton. However 36 is rather late in life to be marrying.

06.01.1638/9 JUSTINIAN Wilton S RICH: & JANE Wilton

This is almost certainly the individual buried on 22.07.1640.

04.06.1642 DANIEL Wilton S RICHARD & JANE Wilton

17.12.1649 SARAIJ Wilton D RICHARD Wilton

There is a record for 15.05.1648 of the burial of a Richard Wilton son of Richard Wilton for which there is no corresponding baptism.

Parents **William & Christian Wilton**. This does not match any marriage at Bruton. The only relevant baptism of a William Wilton in the preceding 50 years is on 13.08.1615, indicating the groom's age at about 32 for the first child. However as discussed below there must have been another William Wilton active in this generation.

01.11 1647 CHRISTIAN Wilton D WILLIAM & CHRISTIAN Wilton

24.12.1648 CHRISTIAN Wilton D WILLIAM Wilton

This could be the individual buried 12.10.1651. If there was more than one William Wilton active in this generation then the burial could apply to either of the above entries.

19.03.1648/9 WILLIAM Wilton S WILLIAM Wilton

Note that this individual is baptized less than 4 months after the preceding entry. Therefore there must be more than one William Wilton family active at this time.

BAPTIZED BETWEEN 23.08 & 09.09. 1650

WILLIAM S WILLIAM & CHRISTIAN Wilton

18.02.1651/2 SARAH Wilton D WILLIAN & CHRISTIAN Wilton

This must be the individual buried on 16.02.1651/2 (two days before christening!).

4.4.1653 S WILLIAM Wilton

This must be the equally unnamed child buried on 18.04.1653.

14.06.1655 SARAIJ Wilton D WILLIAM Wilton B 17.05.1655

15.06.1657 JOHN Wilton S WILLIAM Wilton

BAPTIZED BETWEEN 23.07 AND 23.08 1660

JOSEPH Wilton S WILLIAM Wilton

23.01.1661 SAMUEL Wilton S WILLIAM Wilton

Parent John Wilton

This may match the marriage of John Wilton to Mary Clark, 18.07.1651 at Bruton. This is most likely the John Wilton baptized 28.02.1629/30 (implying age at marriage 21), although it might be the one baptized 04.08.1616 (implying age at marriage 34). Or there may be more than one John active in this generation, as the use of qualifying adjectives may indicate. The John Wilton, Scrivener, is almost certainly the John Wilton, Clerk, who was buried on 16.11.1684.

26.03.1653 JOHN Wilton S JOHN Wilton

24.11.1653 MARY Wilton D JOHN Wilton (SCRIVENER) B 28.10.1653

Note baptized only 8 months after the preceding entry, suggesting multiple John Wiltons in this generation.

04.10.1655 ELIZABETH Wilton D JOHN Wilton (SCRIVENER) B 13.09.1655

15.01.1658/9 JOHN Wilton S MR JOHN Wilton B 15.01.1658/9

Note quadruple reuse of name John, another indication that even with the high infant mortality of the age that multiple families are included here.

BAPTIZED BETWEEN 06.10 AND 04.11 1660

JOHN Wilton S JOHN Wilton

John Wiltons were buried on 04.05.1664 and 04.12.1670 which may partially account for the reuse of the name.

05.11.1663 MARGARITE Wilton D MR JOHN Wilton 21.09.1667 SARAH Wilton D MR JOHN Wilton 13.07.1670 GRACE Wilton D JOHN WILTON

USHER OF YE FREE SCHOOL

10.04.1674 ANN Wilton D JOHN Wilton (SCRIVENER)

This may be the Ann Wilton ("a childe") buried on 14.11.1675

17.04.1677 JOHN Wilton S JOHN Wilton (SCRIVENER)

This may be the John Wilton ("a childe") buried on 07.09.1677

Parent Richard Wilton

The only marriage at Bruton which is in the period if Richard Wilton to Francis Vowden, 27.04.1676. The first three children may be from an earlier marriage which was not recorded at Bruton. This may be the Richard Wilton baptized on 22.02.1643 (age 25 at birth of child) or less likely the one born 30.04.1622 (age 46 at birth of child).

11.12.1668 RICHARD Wilton S RICHARD Wilton

This may be the individual buried 03.04.1670. Possibly the shock triggered a premature birth of a younger sibling.

13.04.1670 RICHARD Wilton S RICHARD Wilton

This is most likely the individual buried 18.04.1670.

28.05.1671 DANIELL Wilton S RICHARD Wilton 02.07.1678 ELIZABETH Wilton D RICHARD Wilton

(On June 21, 1686 Richard Wilton was a church warden)

Parent **Daniell Wilton**, This does not match any marriage at Bruton.

03.06.1670	SARAH Wilton	D DANIELL Wilton
21.04.1672	DANIELL Wilton	S DANIELL Wilton
03.03.1674/5	RICHARD Wilton	S DANIELL Wilton

Parent William Wilton

This does not match any marriage at Bruton.

	ž Č	
30.08.1676	MARY Wilton	D WILLIAM Wilton
29.04.1679	CHRISTIAN Wilton	D WILLIAM Wilton
19.11.1680	GARTRED Wilton	D WILLIAM Wilton
13.07.1683	WILLIAM Wilton	S WILLIAM Wilton

Parent Robert Wilton

This does not match any marriage at Bruton. On 02.02.1698/9 Edith, wife of Robert Wilton, was buried. This is most likely the Robert Wilton baptized on 16.05.1656 (implying age 30 at birth of child)

22.05.1686 GRACE Wilton D ROBERTE Wilton

Parent **Daniel Wilton**. This does not match any marriage at Bruton.

05.04.1695	DORATHY Wilton	D DANIELL Wilton
13.10.1696	DANIELL Wilton	S DANIELL Wilton
09.09.1698	JOHN Wilton	S DANIELL Wilton
21.11.1700	SARAH Wilton	D DANIELL Wilton

Parent William Wilton

This does not match any marriage at Bruton.

30.11.1707	PITMAN Wilton	S WILLIAM Wilton
25.01.1710/11	JOSEPH Wilton	S WILLIAM Wilton
08.11.1715	CHRISTIAN Wilton	D WILLIAM Wilton
03.02.1718/9	MARY Wilton	D WILLIAM Wilton

Parent **Pitman Wilton**

This matches the marriage of Pitman Wilton to Christian Potter, 05.11.1734. With the unusual name, this must be the Pitman Wilton, son of William Wilton, baptized 30.11.1707. His wife Christian was buried 06.08.1741. Pitman was buried 30.11.1743.

15.01.1735/6	WILLIAM Wilton	S PITMAN Wilton
07.08.1738	JOANNAH Wilton	D PITMAN Wilton
26.11.1739	ELIZABETH Wilton	D PITMAN Wilton

Parent **Joseph Wilton**

This matches the marriage of Joseph Wilton to Hannah Vigar, 24.06.1734. This may be the Joseph Wilton baptized 25.01.1710/11 (age at marriage 23). Hannah was buried 14.10.1762. Joseph died 15.01.1802 at age 95 (actually 91).

21.01.1735/6	WILLIAM Wilton	S JOSEPH Wilton
31.10.1737	JOHN Wilton	S JOSEPH Wilton

This is almost certainly the individual buried 06.12.1737 (at age 1.5 months), explaining the

reuse of the name.

11.10.1739 DANIEL Wilton S JOSEPH Wilton

Buried 20.01.1826 at age 86.

03.11.1741 MARTHA Wilton D JOSEPH Wilton

This is almost certainly the individual buried 24.10.1742 (at age 11 months), explaining the reuse of the name.

13.03.1743/4 MARTHA Wilton D JOSEPH Wilton
30.11.1749 JOHN Wilton S JOSEPH Wilton

This is probably the box shild of Joseph Wilton by yield 26, 12, 1740

This is probably the boy child of Joseph Wilton buried 26.12.1749.

03.04.1752 ELIZABETH Wilton D JOSEPH Wilton This is probably the girl child of Joseph Wilton buried 10.12.1752.

02.11.1753 SARAH Wilton D JOSEPH Wilton

This may be the girl child of Joseph Wilton buried 29.05.1756.

Parent **Thomas Wilton**

This matches the marriage of Thomas Wilton to Edith Penny, 26.06.1739

11.05.1740 JAMES Wilton S THOMAS Wilton of DISCOVE

16.10.1748 MARY Wilton D THOMAS Wilton

This is probably the Maria Wilton buried on 05.06.1755

Parent William Wilton

This does not match any marriage at Bruton.

Note burial 08.05.1761 of a boy child.

05.04.1761 SARAH Wilton D WILLIAM Wilton 11.07.1762 MARY Wilton D WILLIAM Wilton

Is one missing from this line?

Note burial 17.03.1765 of a boy child.

24.09.1769 PITMAN Wilton S WILLIAM Wilton

Almost certainly buried 04.10.1772. Also a son James buried 16.04.1772.

Parent Daniel [& Martha] Wilton

These match the marriage of Daniel Wilton to Martha Vigar, 14.07.1765. This is the Daniel Wilton, son of Joseph, baptized 11.10.1739 and buried 20.01.1826.

08.05.1767 HANNAH Wilton D DANIEL Wilton

Burial 12.04.1768 of a child.

23.09.1770 JOANNAH Wilton D DANIEL Wilton

Probably the daughter buried 23.10.1770.

23.10.1772 DANIEL Wilton S DANIEL Wilton

Probably the son buried 08.09.1773.

12.02.1775 WILLIAM Wilton S DANIEL Wilton

Probably the William Wilton buried 03.10.1795 at age 21 years (he would be only 4 months short of it).

01.09.1776 PITMAN Wilton S DANIEL Wilton 11.01.1778 JOSEPH Wilton S DANIEL Wilton

Probably the son buried 15.07.1778

30.06.1782 JOHN VIGAR Wilton S DANIEL Wilton

Almost certainly the John Vigar Wilton who died 26.08.1789 at age 7 years.

22.10.1784 ELIZABETH Wilton D DANIEL & MARTHA Wilton B 20.10.1784 Probably the Elizabeth Wilton buried 26.12.1784 at age 2 months.

Parents John & Sybil Wilton

These match the marriage of John Wilton to Sybil Cary, 13.01.1782. This may be the John Wilton baptized 30.11.1749, although he would have been 33 at the time of the marriage. It may also be the John Wilton who was buried 06.05.1846 at age 89 years, implying a birth in 1757 for which there is no baptismal record. The wife may be the Sybil Wilton buried 01.01.1841 at age 82, implying birth in 1759, but in that case Thomas & Pitman would have been born to her at age 45.

17.11.1784	SARAH Wilton	D JOHN & SIBIL Wilton	B 26.10.1784
12.05.1787	ELIZABETH Wilton	D JOHN & SYBIL Wilton	B 10.05.1787
30.09.1789	ANN Wilton	D JOHN & SYBIL Wilton	B 30.11.1788
03.10.1790	JOHN Wilton	S JOHN & SYBIL Wilton	B27.05.1790
12.12.1792	LIDIA Wilton	D JOHN & SYBIL Wilton	B15.11.1792
Buried 09	.02.1798 at age 5.		
03.10.1795	JOSEPH Wilton	S JOHN & SYBEL Wilton	B30.08.1795
26.12.1797	WILLIAM Wilton	S JOHN & SYBIL Wilton	B 12.12.1797
09.11.1804	THOMAS Wilton	S JOHN &SYBIL Wilton	B 23.08.1799
09.11.1804	PITMAN Wilton	S JOHN & SYBIL Wilton	B 28.10.1802

Parents William & Edith Wilton

This does not match any marriage at Bruton.

08.01.1786 JOHN Wilton S WILLIAM & EDITH Wilton B 12.12.1785

Parents William & Anna Wilton

This matches the marriage of William Wilton to Anna Collins, 05.10.1794. The wife may be the Ann Wilton buried 28.03.1834 at age 75, implying birth in 1759, and age 35 at marriage.

21.01.1795 JOHN Wilton S WILLIAM & ANNA Wilton B 29.12.1794 30.09.1796 MARTHA Wilton D WILLIAM & ANNA Wilton

B26.09.1796

Buried 29.04.1798.

Parents Daniel & Jane Wilton

This does not match any marriage at Bruton. The wife may be the Jane Wilton buried 15.03.1834 at age 72, implying birth in 1862 and age 35 at birth of first child.

30.01.1797	HANNAH Wilton	D DANIEL & JANE Wilton B 24.12.1796
14.02.1800	JANE Wilton	D DANIEL & JANE Wilton B 23.01.1800
Buried 30.04.1800		
01.04.1801	WILLIAM Wilton	S DANIEL & JANE Wilton B 15.03.1801
Buried 08.04		

Parents John & Sarah Wilton

These match the marriage of John Wilton and Sarah Churchill, 25.12.1809

02.01.1811	ELIZABETH Wilton	D JOHN & SARAH Wilton	B 12.04.1810
28.03.1816	WILLIAM Wilton	S JOHN & SARAH Wilton	LABOURER
21.06.1818	JOHN Wilton	S JOHN & SARAH Wilton	LABOURER
03.12.1820	THOMAS Wilton	S JOHN & SARAH Wilton	LABOURER

Parent Elizabeth Wilton

Not only do these appear to be out of wedlock, but there is no baptism of an Elizabeth Wilton at Bruton prior to 1811. However there are some missing records since there was an Elizabeth Wilton married in 1760. The given name may have entered the family through the marriage of John Wilton to Elizabeth Ames in 1563. This may be the Elizabeth who was buried 23.01.1846 at age 72, although that would mean her last child was born when she was 43.

07.06.1813 WILLIAM Wilton S ELIZABETH Wilton

Buried 24.09.1813.

06.09.1815 ANNE Wilton DELIZABETH Wilton

Buried 22.12.1815.

03.08.1817 JOSEPH Wilton S ELIZABETH Wilton

Parents John & Nancy Wilton

There is no record of this marriage at Bruton.

25.05.1817 MARY ANNE Wilton D JOHN & NANCY Wilton CARPENTER

Parents William & Martha Wilton

These match the marriage of William Wilton to Martha Dunn, 04.08.1822. Martha Wilton was buried 17.11.1830 at age 37, implying birth in 1793 and 29 at marriage.

24.07.1823	HANNAH Wilton	D WILLIAM & MARTHA Wilton LABOURER
	ISABELLA	D WILLIAM & MARTHA
28.04.1826	SYBIL Wilton	D WILLIAM & MARTHA Wilton LABOURER
1829	CAROLINE	D WILLIAM & MARTHA Wilton

Parents William & Jane Wilton

No record of this marriage has been found at Bruton, but we know he married Jane Bengyfield or Benjafield.

	MARY	D WILLIAM & JANE Wilton
1832	JOSEPH	S WILLIAM & JANE Wilton
1833	PITMAN AUT	RUM OR ANTREM S WILLIAM & JANE Wilton

In conclusion:

As usual check out October 2015 Updates to Website Functionality for details on the changes made to the implementation of the site during the past month, and a list of bugs that have been fixed. Also check out List of Recently Added Individuals for information on the recent growth of the tree which currently holds 89,190 individuals, 25,305 families, 67,530 events, and 274,608 citations.